arXiv:1612.09111v1 [math.GR] 29 Dec 2016

Completeness of locally k_ω-groups and related infinite-dimensional Lie groups

Hans Braun and Helge Glöckner

Abstract

Recall that a topological space X is said to be a *k_ω-space* if it is the direct limit of an ascending sequence $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \cdots$ of compact Hausdorff topological spaces. If each point in a Hausdorff space X has an open neighbourhood which is a k_ω-space, then X is called *locally k_ω*. We show that a topological group is complete whenever the underlying topological space is locally k_ω. As a consequence, every infinite-dimensional Lie group modelled on a Silva space is complete.

Classification: 22E65 (primary); 22A05, 46A13, 46M40, 58D05

Key words: infinite-dimensional Lie group; locally convex group; (LB)-space; Silva space; (DFS)-space; hemicompact space; compactly generated space; inductive limit; direct limit; ascending union; ascending sequence; diffeomorphism group; Cauchy filter; left uniform structure; completeness; separability; countable dense subset

1 Introduction and statement of the results

Recall that a *k_ω-space* is a Hausdorff topological space X which carries the direct limit topology for an ascending sequence $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \cdots$ of compact subsets $K_n \subseteq X$ with $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} K_n = X$ (see [16], [24]; cf. [37] and, with different terminology, [40]). Thus, a subset $V \subseteq X$ is open if and only if $V \cap K_n$ is relatively open in K_n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. A topological group is called a *k_ω-group* if its underlying topological space is a k_ω-space. As a special case of the work of Raĭkov [46], every abelian k_ω-group is complete. The current work is devoted to generalizations of this result to not necessarily abelian groups, with a view towards examples in infinite-dimensional Lie theory.

A filter basis $\mathcal{B}$ in a topological group G is called *left* (resp., *right*) *Cauchy* if, for each identity neighbourhood $V \subseteq G$, there exists $A \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $A^{-1}A \subseteq V$ (resp., $AA^{-1} \subseteq V$). If each left Cauchy filter basis (or, equivalently, each right Cauchy filter basis) converges in a topological group G, then G is called

Weil complete. Our main result, Theorem 1.1, is part of the first author's unpublished thesis [8].

Theorem 1.1 *Every k_ω-group is Weil complete.*

See Section 2 for the proof, which was stimulated by Raĭkov's treatment of the abelian case but deviates in detail as the topology on a k_ω-group G is not only the final *group* topology on G with respect to the inclusion maps $j_n\colon K_n \to G$ for some compact subsets $K_n \subseteq G$ (a hypothesis made by Raĭkov), but also the final topology with respect to the inclusions j_n.

Following [24], a Hausdorff space X is called *locally k_ω* if each $x \in X$ has an open neighbourhood $U \subseteq X$ which is a k_ω-space in the induced topology. A topological group G is called locally k_ω if its underlying topological space is locally k_ω. Since every locally k_ω group has an open subgroup which is a k_ω-group [24, Proposition 5.3], we immediately deduce from Theorem 1.1:

Corollary 1.2 *If a topological group is locally k_ω, then it is Weil complete.* □

In this article, we consider Lie groups modelled on arbitrary real or complex Hausdorff locally convex topological vector spaces (as in [17] and [42]),[1] based on the differential calculus in locally convex spaces developed by A. Bastiani [3], also known as Keller's C_c^∞-theory [31].[2] Since the smooth maps under consideration are, in particular, continuous, the Lie groups we consider have continuous group operations. They can therefore be regarded as Hausdorff topological groups, and we can ask when they are complete. The following observation (proved in Section 3) is essential.

Proposition 1.3 *If a Lie group G is modelled on a locally convex space E which is a k_ω-space, then G is locally k_ω, and its identity component G_e is k_ω.*

Combining Proposition 1.3 and Theorem 1.1, we obtain a simple completeness criterion for infinite-dimensional Lie groups:

Corollary 1.4 *Every Lie group modelled on a k_ω-space is Weil complete.* □

Let us recall some facts concerning locally convex spaces whose underlying topological spaces are k_ω. If E is a Hausdorff topological vector space,

[1] Compare also [27] (for Lie groups modelled on Fréchet spaces) and [39] (for Lie groups modelled on sequentially complete locally convex spaces).

[2] See [17], [25], [27], [38], and [39] for expositions in varying degrees of generality.

we write E'_c (resp., E'_b) for the space of all continuous linear functionals $\lambda\colon E \to \mathbb{R}$, endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact (resp., bounded) sets. It is known that E'_c is a k_ω-space for each metrizable topological vector space E (cf. Corollary 4.7 and Proposition 5.5 in [2]). Hence, if a Hausdorff locally convex space E is semi-Montel,[3] then $E'_b = E'_c$ is k_ω. Recall that a locally convex space E is called a *Silva space* if it is the locally convex direct limit $E = \varinjlim E_n$ of an ascending sequence

$$E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \cdots$$

of Banach spaces, such that each inclusion map $E_n \to E_{n+1}$ is a compact operator (see, e.g., [15] or [25, Appendix B]). Silva spaces are also called (DFS)-*spaces* in the literature because, up to isomorphism, they are exactly the dual spaces $F'_b = F'_c$ of Fréchet-Schwartz spaces F (cf. [15]). Every Fréchet-Schwartz space being Montel, it follows that every Silva space is k_ω (see also [21, Example 9.4]). Thus Corollary 1.4 entails:

Corollary 1.5 *Lie groups modelled on Silva spaces are Weil complete.* □

Until recently, little was known on the completeness properties of infinite-dimensional Lie groups, except for the classical fact that Lie groups modelled on Banach spaces are Weil complete (see Proposition 1 in [7, Chapter III, §1.1]). In 2016, Weil completeness could be established for many of the main examples of infinite-dimensional Lie groups [23], but some examples could not be treated by the methods of [23], notably some classes of Lie groups modelled on Silva spaces. The current paper closes this gap, as Corollary 1.5 establishes Weil completeness for the latter. Section 4 compiles a list of infinite-dimensional Lie groups which are modelled on Silva spaces and hence Weil complete (by Corollary 1.5). In particular, we find:

- For each compact real analytic manifold M, the Lie group $\mathrm{Diff}^\omega(M)$ of all real analytic diffeomorphisms $\phi\colon M \to M$ is Weil complete;
- For each finite-dimensional Lie group G and M as before, the Lie group $C^\omega(M, G)$ of all real analytic maps $f\colon M \to G$ is Weil complete.

Being modelled on Silva spaces, the examples we consider are locally k_ω (by Proposition 1.3), which is sufficient to conclude Weil completeness. Yet, it is natural to ask whether the groups in question are not only locally k_ω, but, actually, k_ω-groups. In Section 5, we show:

[3] That is, if each bounded subset of E is relatively compact.

Proposition 1.6 *For each compact real analytic manifold M, the Lie group* $\mathrm{Diff}^\omega(M)$ *is a k_ω-group. Moreover, $C^\omega(M,G)$ is a k_ω-group for each σ-compact finite-dimensional Lie group G, and M as before.*

The same conclusion is obtained for certain Lie groups of germs of G-valued analytic maps around a compact set (see Proposition 5.7), and for Lie groups of germs of analytic diffeomorphisms (see Proposition 5.8).

In Section 6, we briefly describe some further examples of topological groups (outside real Lie theory) which are k_ω-groups and hence Weil complete.[4] The article closes with open problems concerning Lie groups which are similar to our examples but need not be locally k_ω (Section 7).

We mention that our studies are related to an open problem by K.-H. Neeb, who asked whether every Lie group modelled on a complete locally convex space is (Weil) complete (cf. [42, Problem II.9]). As long as this problem remains open, it is natural to look for classes Ω of locally convex spaces such that every Lie group modelled on a space $E \in \Omega$ is Weil complete. Banach spaces form one such class Ω. By Corollary 1.4, locally convex spaces whose underlying topological space is k_ω furnish a second such class.

Our findings also shed a light on completeness properties of quotient groups. It is well known that Hausdorff quotient groups of Weil complete topological groups need not be Weil complete, although this pathology cannot occur for *metrizable*, complete topological groups (see [47], cf. also [1]). As G/N is locally k_ω (and hence Weil complete) for each locally k_ω-group G and closed normal subgroup $N \subseteq G$, we see that locally k_ω-groups form a second class of topological groups in which Weil completeness is inherited by Hausdorff quotient groups.

Acknowledgement. The authors are grateful to Alexander Schmeding (Trondheim) for comments concerning the manifold structure on $\mathrm{Diff}^\omega(M)$.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1. We shall use the following well-known (and obvious) fact:

[4] See also Example 4.8.

2.1 A filter basis $\mathcal{B}$ in a topological group G is a right Cauchy filter basis if and only if it has the following property: For each identity neighbourhood $V \subseteq G$, there exist $A \in \mathcal{B}$ and $x \in G$ such that $A \subseteq Vx$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let G be a k_ω-group. Then there exists a sequence $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq \cdots$ of compact sets such that $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} K_n = G$ and the topology on G matches with the direct limit topology of the sequence. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a right Cauchy filter basis in G and let $\mathcal{U}$ be a neighbourhood basis of e consisting of open sets. Then

$$\mathcal{B}^* := \{U^{-1}B \colon B \in \mathcal{B}, U \in \mathcal{U}\}$$

is also a right Cauchy filter basis in G. We claim that there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that each element in $\mathcal{B}^*$ has a nonempty intersection with K_n. If this is true, then we can complete the proof as follows: We define

$$\mathcal{B}_n^* := \{(U^{-1}B) \cap K_n \colon B \in \mathcal{B}, U \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

The set $\mathcal{B}_n^*$ is a filter basis in K_n, since $\mathcal{B}^*$ is a filter basis in G. Next, we prove that $\mathcal{B}^*$ is convergent in G. To this end, we note that each filter basis in a compact set has a cluster point; moreover, each cluster point of $\mathcal{B}_n^*$ is, in particular, a cluster point of $\mathcal{B}^*$. Combined with the fact that each right Cauchy filter basis converges to each of its cluster points, this implies that $\mathcal{B}^*$ converges to some $g \in G$. Then $\mathcal{B} \to g$, since every neighborhood V of g contains $U^{-1}B$ for some $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and hence $B \subseteq U^{-1}B \subseteq V$. Thus G is Weil complete.

To proof the claim, suppose to the contrary that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $B_n \in \mathcal{B}$ and $U_n \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $(U_n^{-1}B_n) \cap K_n = \emptyset$ or equivalently

$$B_n \cap (U_n K_n) = \emptyset. \tag{1}$$

Since K_nK_n is compact, we have $K_nK_n \subseteq K_{m_n}$ for some $m_n \in \mathbb{N}$, cf. [28, Lemma 2.4]. We now define

$$V := \bigcap_{j\in\mathbb{N}} (U_{m_j} K_j)$$

and observe that $K_n \subseteq K_j \subseteq U_{m_j}K_j$ for $n \leq j$, since $e \in U_{m_j}$. Hence

$$V \cap K_n = \bigcap_{j\in\mathbb{N}} (U_{m_j} K_j) \cap K_n = \bigcap_{j=1}^{n-1} (U_{m_j} K_j) \cap K_n,$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The sets

$$U_{m_j} K_j \;=\; \bigcup_{x \in K_j} (U_{m_j} x)$$

are open in G, because U_{m_j} is open in G and the translations are homeomorphisms. Hence $V \cap K_n$ is open in K_n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which implies that V is open in G, as G is equipped with the direct limit topology. Since $\mathcal{B}$ is a right Cauchy filter basis, there exist $B \in \mathcal{B}$ and $x \in G$ such that $B \subseteq Vx$ (see 2.1). Since G is covered by the compact subsets K_n, we have $x \in K_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As a consequence,

$$B \subseteq V K_n \subseteq (U_{m_n} K_n) K_n \subseteq U_{m_n} K_{m_n}.$$

But $B_{m_n} \cap (U_{m_n} K_{n_m}) = \emptyset$ (cf. (1)), whence

$$B_{m_n} \cap B \;\subseteq\; B_{m_n} \cap (U_{m_n} K_{m_n}) = \emptyset.$$

This contradicts the fact that $\mathcal{B}$ is a filter basis, as both of the sets B and B_{n_m} are elements of $\mathcal{B}$. Thus the claim is true, which finishes the proof. □

3 Proof of Proposition 1.3

The proposition is a mere observation:

Proof of Proposition 1.3. For each $g \in G$, there exists a homeomorphism $\phi\colon U \to V$ from an open neighbourhood $U \subseteq G$ of g onto an open subset $V \subseteq E$. By [24, Proposition 4.2 (g)], V contains an open neighbourhood W of $\phi(g)$ which is a k_ω-space in the induced topology. Then $\phi^{-1}(W)$ is an open neighbourhood of g in G and a k_ω-space. Thus G is locally k_ω. Hence G has an open subgroup S which is k_ω and since G_e is a closed subgroup of S, it is k_ω as well (see [24, Propositions 5.3 and 5.2 (b)]). □

4 Examples of Weil complete Lie groups

Our completeness criteria apply to many classes of infinite-dimensional Lie groups.

Example 4.1 If M is a compact real analytic manifold (without boundary or corners), then the group $\mathrm{Diff}^\omega(M)$ of all real analytic diffeomorphisms $\phi\colon M \to M$ is a Lie group modelled on the Silva space $\Gamma^\omega(TM)$ of all real analytic vector fields on M (see [34], [32], [10]); similarly for suitable real analytic manifolds with corners (see [14]).[5] By Corollary 1.5, $\mathrm{Diff}^\omega(M)$ is Weil complete.

Example 4.2 Let G be a finite-dimensional Lie group over $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$, with modelling space $\mathfrak{g}$, and K be a non-empty compact subset of a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{K}$-vector space E. Given a $\mathbb{K}$-analytic map $f\colon U \to G$ on an open subset $U \subseteq E$ with $K \subseteq U$, write $[f]$ for its germ around K. Then the group $\mathrm{Germ}(K, G)$ of all such germs $[f]$ is a Lie group modelled on the Silva space $\mathrm{Germ}(K, \mathfrak{g})$ (see [20]); likewise if $K \neq \emptyset$ is a compact subset of a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{K}$-analytic manifold M (see [11]). By Corollary 1.5, $\mathrm{Germ}(K, G)$ is Weil complete.

Example 4.3 If M is a compact real-analytic manifold, taking $\mathbb{K} := \mathbb{R}$ and $K := M$ in Example 4.2 we see that the Lie group $C^\omega(M, G)$ of G-valued real analytic maps on M (which can be identified with $\mathrm{Germ}(M, G)$) is Weil complete, for each finite-dimensional Lie group G.

Example 4.4 If G is a Lie group modelled on a finite-dimensional real vector space $\mathfrak{g}$, then the group $C^\omega(\mathbb{R}, G)$ of all real analytic maps $f\colon \mathbb{R} \to G$ can be made a Lie group modelled on the projective limit

$$C^\omega(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{g}) = \varprojlim \mathrm{Germ}([-n, n], \mathfrak{g})$$

of Silva spaces,[6] using the strategy of [43] (compare [11]). As $\mathrm{Germ}([-n, n], G)$ is a Weil complete Hausdorff group for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by Example 4.3 and $C^\omega(\mathbb{R}, G) = \varprojlim \mathrm{Germ}([-n, n], G)$, we see that $C^\omega(\mathbb{R}, G)$ is Weil complete.

Example 4.5 For $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ and a non-empty compact subset K of a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{K}$-vector space E, consider the group $\mathrm{GermDiff}(K)$ of germs $[f]$ around K of $\mathbb{K}$-analytic diffeomorphisms $f\colon U \to V$ between open subsets $U, V \subseteq E$ with $K \subseteq U \cap V$, such that $f|_K = \mathrm{id}_K$. Then

[5] These are modelled on the vector subspace of so-called *stratified* vector fields, which are tangent to the strata of the boundary.

[6] Compare [35] for information on the topology of such spaces.

$\mathrm{GermDiff}(K)$ is a Lie group modelled on the Silva space $\mathrm{Germ}(K,E)_0$ of all germs of E-valued $\mathbb{K}$-analytic maps around $K \subseteq E$ which vanish on K (see [21]). By Corollary 1.5, $\mathrm{GermDiff}(K)$ is Weil complete. As a special case, taking $\mathbb{K} := \mathbb{C}$, $E := \mathbb{C}^n$ and $K := \{0\}$, we see that the Lie groups $\mathrm{Gh}_n(\mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{GermDiff}(\{0\})$ studied by Pisanelli [45] are Weil complete. We also mention that certain Lie groups of real analytic diffeomorphisms considered by Leitenberger (see [33]) are closed subgroups of $\mathrm{GermDiff}(\{0\})$ with $\mathbb{K} := \mathbb{R}$, $E := \mathbb{R}^n$, and $K := \{0\}$, whence they are Weil complete as well.

Example 4.6 In [5], a so-called tame Butcher group over $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ was introduced, with connections to integration methods for ordinary differential equations in numerical analysis. The tame Butcher group G is an infinite-dimensional Lie group which is modelled on a Silva (hence k_ω-) space E and admits a global chart, i.e., a global diffeomorphism $G \to E$. Hence G is a k_ω-group and thus G is Weil complete, by Theorem 1.1.

Example 4.7 Let M be a compact smooth manifold, G be a Lie group modelled on a finite-dimensional real vector space $\mathfrak{g}$, and s be a real number such that $s \geq \dim(M)/2$. Then a Lie group $H^{\downarrow s}(M,G)$ can be defined which is modelled on the Silva space

$$\varinjlim H^{s+\frac{1}{n}}(M,\mathfrak{g})$$

of all $\mathfrak{g}$-valued functions on M which are of Sobolev class t for some $t > s$ (cf. [22]). By Lemma 1.5, $H^{\downarrow s}(M,G)$ is Weil complete.

Example 4.8 Consider a direct sequence $G_1 \subseteq G_2 \subseteq \cdots$ of locally k_ω-groups, i.e., an ascending sequence such that all inclusion maps $G_n \to G_{n+1}$ are continuous group homomorphisms. Then $G := \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} G_n$ has a unique group structure making each G_n a subgroup of G, and the direct limit topology makes G a topological group which is locally k_ω (see [24, Proposition 5.4]) and hence Weil complete, by Corollary 1.2. As a special case, we see that the direct limit G of each direct sequence $G_1 \subseteq G_2 \subseteq \cdots$ of locally compact Hausdorff topological groups (as considered in [49] and [29]) is Weil complete. Such direct limits $G = \varinjlim G_n$ arise naturally in infinite-dimensional Lie theory; if each G_n is a finite-dimensional real Lie group, then $G := \varinjlim G_n$ has a natural real Lie group structure (see [19], or also [41], [32], [18] for special cases); prominent examples are ascending unions of matrix groups like

$$\mathrm{GL}_\infty(\mathbb{R}) := \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{SL}_\infty(\mathbb{R}) := \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}),$$

identifying a matrix $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ with the block diagonal matrix $\mathrm{diag}(A, 1) \in \mathrm{GL}_{n+1}(\mathbb{R})$. We mention that Weil completeness of $\varinjlim G_n$ for locally compact steps G_n was already established in [23] by a different reasoning, under the additional hypothesis that the direct sequence is *strict* in the sense that each inclusion map $G_n \to G_{n+1}$ is a homeomorphism onto its image. Our new argument does not require strictness.

5 Proof of Proposition 1.6

In this section, we prove Proposition 1.6 and obtain results concerning separabilty and the k_ω-property also for some further examples of Lie groups.

Recall that a topological space is called *separable* if it has a countable, dense subset. The following observation will help us to prove Proposition 1.6:

Lemma 5.1 *If a topological group G is separable and locally k_ω, then G is a k_ω-group.*

Proof. Let $D \subseteq G$ be a dense countable subset. Since G is locally k_ω, it has an open subgroup U which is a k_ω-group (see [24, Proposition 5.3]). Then $G = DU$, enabling us to choose a subset $R \subseteq D$ of representatives for the left cosets of U. Thus $G = RU$ and $rU \cap sU = \emptyset$ for all $r \neq s$ in R. As each coset is open, the disjoint union

$$G = \bigcup_{r \in R} rU$$

is a topological sum. Since countable topological sums of k_ω-spaces are k_ω (see [24, Proposition 4.2 (e)]), we see that G is a k_ω-space. □

5.2 Every subset of a separable metric space is separable, as is well known.[7]

The next lemma compiles further elementary facts concerning separability. For completeness, we briefly recall the proofs in the appendix.

Lemma 5.3 (a) *If a topological space X is separable, then every open subset of X is separable.*

[7] This is immediate from the observation that a metric space is separable if and only if it has a countable basis for its topology.

(b) *If $(X_j)_{j\in J}$ is a family of separable topological spaces with countable index set $J \neq \emptyset$, then $\prod_{j\in J} X_j$ is separable when endowed with the product topology.*

(c) *If $(X_j)_{j\in J}$ is a family of separable topological spaces with countable index set $J \neq \emptyset$, then also the topological sum $\coprod_{j\in J} X_j$ is separable.*

(d) *If $f\colon X \to Y$ is a surjective continuous map between topological spaces and X is separable, then Y is separable.*

(e) *If X is a topological space which has a separable dense subset, then X is separable.*

(f) *Let X be a topological space, $(X_j)_{j\in J}$ be a family of separable topological spaces with countable index set $J \neq \emptyset$, and $(f_j)_{j\in J}$ be a family of continuous maps $f_j\colon X_j \to X$ with $\bigcup_{j\in J} f_j(X_j) = X$. Then X is separable.*

If X and Y Hausdorff topological spaces, we write $C(X, Y)$ for the set of all continuous maps $f\colon X \to Y$. We shall always endow $C(X, Y)$ with the compact-open topology (as discussed in [13], [48], or also [25, Appendix A.5]). If K is a compact Hausdorff topological space, we write $\mathrm{Homeo}(K)$ for the group of all homeomorphisms $\phi\colon K \to K$. We endow $\mathrm{Homeo}(K)$ with the topology induced by $C(K, K)$. Some folklore facts concerning the compact-open topology will be used, as compiled in the next lemma. For the reader's convenience, proofs are sketched in the appendix.

Lemma 5.4 (a) *For each compact, metrizable topological space K and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the locally convex space $C(K, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is separable.*

(b) *For each K as before and σ-compact finite-dimensional Lie group G, the topological group $C(K, G)$ is separable.*

(c) *If K is a compact smooth manifold (or a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$), then $\mathrm{Homeo}(K)$ is a separable topological group.*

We shall recognize k_ω-groups using the following lemma:

Lemma 5.5 *Let G be a topological group. Assume that there exists a continuous homomorphism $\alpha\colon G \to H$ to a separable metrizable topological group H and an open identity neighbourhood $U \subseteq H$ such that $\alpha^{-1}(U)$ is separable in the topology induced by G. Then G is separable.*

Proof. Since H is separable and metrizable, $\alpha(G)$ is separable in the topology induced by H (see 5.2). We therefore find a countable subset $C \subseteq G$ such that $\alpha(C)$ is dense in $\alpha(G)$. Let D be a dense countable subset of $\alpha^{-1}(U)$. Then $C^{-1}D$ is a countable subset of G. For $g \in G$, we find $c \in C$ such that $\alpha(c) \in U\alpha(g^{-1})$ and thus $cg \in \alpha^{-1}(U)$. Now $d_j \to cg$ for a net $(d_j)_{j\in J}$ in D. Then $c^{-1}d_j \in C^{-1}D$ and $c^{-1}d_j \to g$, whence $C^{-1}D$ is dense in G. □

The next lemma yields separability of relevant modelling spaces.

Lemma 5.6 *Every Silva space is separable.*

Proof. Let $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \cdots$ be an ascending sequence of Banach spaces, such that all inclusion maps $E_n \to E_{n+1}$ are compact operators. Consider the locally convex direct limit $E := \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} E_n$. Let B_n be the unit ball in E_n and K_n be its closure in E_{n+1}. Then K_n is compact and metrizable as a subset of E_{n+1} (hence also in E), and thus separable. As a consequence, $E = \bigcup_{n,m\in\mathbb{N}} mK_n$ is separable, being a countable union of subsets which are separable in the induced topology (see Lemma 5.3 (f)). □

In the next proposition, $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$.

Proposition 5.7 *Let M be a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{K}$-analytic manifold, G be a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{K}$-analytic Lie group which is σ-compact and $K \subseteq M$ be a compact, non-empty subset. Then* $\mathrm{Germ}(K, G)$ *(as in Example* 4.2*) is separable and a k_ω-group.*

Proof. Let $\phi\colon U \to V$ be a $\mathbb{K}$-analytic diffeomorphism from an open identity neighbourhood $U \subseteq G$ onto an open subset $V \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\phi(e) = 0$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ ($\cong \mathbb{K}^n$) is the modelling space of G. Then

$$\mathrm{Germ}(K, V) := \{[f] \in \mathrm{Germ}(K, \mathfrak{g})\colon f(K) \subseteq V\}$$

is an open 0-neighbourhood in the Silva space $\mathrm{Germ}(K, \mathfrak{g})$ and hence separable, by Lemmas 5.6 and 5.3 (a). After shrinking U if necessary,

$$\mathrm{Germ}(K, U) := \{[f] \in \mathrm{Germ}(K, G)\colon f(K) \subseteq U\}$$

is an open identity neighbourhood and

$$\mathrm{Germ}(K, U) \to \mathrm{Germ}(K, V), \quad [f] \mapsto [\phi \circ f]$$

a homeomorphism, showing that $\mathrm{Germ}(K,U)$ is separable. Recall from Lemma 5.4 (b) that $C(K,G)$ is a separable topological group. Now

$$\alpha\colon \mathrm{Germ}(K,G) \to C(K,G), \quad [f] \mapsto f|_K$$

is a continuous homomorphism and $C(K,U)$ an open identity neighbourhood in $C(K,G)$ such that $\alpha^{-1}(C(K,U)) = \mathrm{Germ}(K,U)$ is separable. Thus $\mathrm{Germ}(K,G)$ is separable and k_ω, by Lemma 5.5. □

Proof of Proposition 1.6. Let M be a compact real analytic manifold. If G is a σ-compact, finite-dimensional Lie group, taking $K := M$ and $\mathbb{K} := \mathbb{R}$ in Proposition 5.7, we see that $C^\omega(M,G) = \mathrm{Germ}(M,G)$ is a k_ω-group (and separable).

The Lie group $\mathrm{Diff}^\omega(M)$ is an open subset of the set $C^\omega(M,M)$ of all real analytic self-maps of M, endowed with its natural smooth manifold structure (and topology); see [32, Theorem 43.3] or [10, Proposition 1.9]. It is useful to recall an aspect of the construction of the manifold structure on $C^\omega(M,M)$, as described in [32] and [10]. Write 0_x for the zero vector in T_xM for $x \in M$, and $\pi_{TM}\colon TM \to M$ for the bundle projection taking $v \in T_xM$ to x. Let $\Sigma\colon \Omega \to M$ be a real analytic local addition; thus Ω is an open neighbourhood of $\{0_x\colon x \in M\}$ in TM,

$$\Sigma(0_x) = x$$

for all $x \in M$, and

$$(\pi_{TM}, \Sigma)\colon \Omega \to M \times M$$

is a C^ω-diffeomorphism onto an open subset of $M \times M$. According to [10, Theorem 1.6 (a)], the set

$$U_{\mathrm{id}_M} := \{\psi \in C^\omega(M,M)\colon (\mathrm{id}_M, \psi)(M) \subseteq (\pi_{TM}, \Sigma)(\Omega)\}$$

is open in $C^\omega(M,M)$ and the map

$$\Phi_{\mathrm{id}_M}\colon U_{\mathrm{id}_M} \to \Gamma^\omega(TM), \quad \psi \mapsto (\pi_{TM}, \Sigma)^{-1} \circ (\mathrm{id}_M, \psi)$$

is a homeomorphism onto an open subset of the Silva space $\Gamma^\omega(TM)$ of real analytic vector fields on M. Note that

$$\{(\phi,\psi) \in C(M, M \times M)\colon (\phi,\psi)(M) \subseteq (\pi_{TM}, \Sigma)(\Omega)\}$$

is open in $C(M, M \times M)$. As the map

$$C(M,M) \to C(M, M \times M), \quad \psi \mapsto (\mathrm{id}_M, \psi)$$

is continuous, we deduce that

$$W := \{\psi \in \mathrm{Homeo}(M)\colon (\mathrm{id}_M, \psi)(M) \subseteq (\pi_{TM}, \Sigma)(\Omega)\}$$

is an open identity neighbourhood in $\mathrm{Homeo}(M)$. Now consider the inclusion map

$$\alpha\colon \mathrm{Diff}^\omega(M) \to \mathrm{Homeo}(M), \quad \phi \mapsto \phi,$$

which is a continuous homomorphism. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{-1}(W) &= W \cap \mathrm{Diff}^\omega(M) = \{\psi \in \mathrm{Diff}^\omega(M)\colon (\mathrm{id}_M, \psi)(M) \subseteq (\pi_{TM}, \Sigma)(\Omega)\} \\ &= \mathrm{Diff}^\omega(M) \cap U_{\mathrm{id}_M} \end{aligned}$$

is an open identity neighbourhood in $\mathrm{Diff}^\omega(M)$. As the homeomorphism Φ_{id_M} takes $\alpha^{-1}(W)$ onto an open subset of the Silva space $\Gamma^\omega(TM)$, we deduce from Lemmas 5.6 and 5.3 (a) that $\alpha^{-1}(W)$ is separable. Since $\mathrm{Homeo}(M)$ is separable and metrizable by Lemma 5.4 (c), we deduce with Lemma 5.5 that $\mathrm{Diff}^\omega(M)$ is separable and hence k_ω, by Lemma 5.1. □

In the next proposition, $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$.

Proposition 5.8 *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and non-empty compact subset $K \subseteq \mathbb{K}^n$, the Lie group* $\mathrm{GermDiff}(K)$ *is separable and a k_ω-group.*

Proof. Abbreviate $E := \mathbb{K}^n$. As shown in [21],

$$\mathrm{Germ}(K, E)_0 := \{[f] \in \mathrm{Germ}(K, E)\colon f|_K = 0\}$$

is a Silva space,

$$\Omega := \{[f] \in \mathrm{Germ}(K, E)_0\colon [\mathrm{id}_E + f] \in \mathrm{GermDiff}(K)\}$$

is an open subset of $\mathrm{Germ}(K, E)_0$ and the bijection

$$\Omega \to \mathrm{GermDiff}(K), \quad [f] \mapsto [\mathrm{id}_E + f]$$

is a homeomorphism for the Lie group structure on $\mathrm{GermDiff}(K)$. Since Ω is separable by Lemma 5.6 and 5.2, also $\mathrm{GermDiff}(K)$ is separable and hence k_ω, by Proposition 1.3 and Lemma 5.1. □

6 Further examples of k_ω-groups

We now record further examples of non-abelian topological groups which are k_ω-groups (outside the realm of real Lie groups).

6.1 Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a totally disconnected local field, i.e., a totally disconnected, non-discrete, locally compact topological field. Then $\mathbb{K}$ is a finite extension of the field of p-adic numbers for some prime number p, or a field of formal Laurent series over a finite field [53]. Let $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ be an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{K}$. Since $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ has countable, infinite dimension over $\mathbb{K}$, we find an ascending sequence

$$\mathbb{L}_1 \subseteq \mathbb{L}_2 \subseteq \cdots \tag{2}$$

of finite field extensions $\mathbb{L}_m$ of $\mathbb{K}$ such that $\overline{\mathbb{K}} = \bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{L}_m$. As each $\mathbb{L}_m$ is a finite-dimensional $\mathbb{K}$-vector space, it admits a unique Hausdorff vector topology over $\mathbb{K}$, and the latter is locally compact (see [6]); moreover, it makes $\mathbb{L}_m$ a totally disconnected local field (cf. [53]). We give $\overline{\mathbb{K}} = \bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{L}_m$ the direct limit topology. As usual, we endow the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$ with the topology induced by the product topology on the space $\overline{\mathbb{K}}^{n\times n}$ of $n \times n$-matrices.

In the situation of 6.1, we have:

Proposition 6.2 *The direct limit topology makes $\overline{\mathbb{K}} = \bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{L}_m$ a topological field and a k_ω-space. Its topology is independent of the exhaustion* (2)*. Moreover, $\mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$ is a k_ω-group (and hence Weil complete), for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. The topology is independent of the choice of the exhaustion as $\{\mathbb{L}_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is cofinal in the set of all intermediate fields $\mathbb{K} \subseteq \mathbb{L} \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{K}}$ which are finite extensions of $\mathbb{K}$. To see that $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ is a topological field, note that the addition and multiplication maps

$$\overline{\mathbb{K}} \times \overline{\mathbb{K}} \to \overline{\mathbb{K}}$$

are continuous on $\mathbb{L}_m \times \mathbb{L}_m$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence continuous on the direct limit topological space $\varinjlim (\mathbb{L}_m \times \mathbb{L}_m)$, which coincides with $\overline{\mathbb{K}} \times \overline{\mathbb{K}}$ (carrying the product topology) as each $\mathbb{L}_m$ is locally compact (see [29, Theorem 4.1]). As $\overline{\mathbb{K}}^\times$ is the ascending union of the open subsets $\mathbb{L}_m^\times \subseteq \mathbb{L}_m$, the topology induced by $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ on $\overline{\mathbb{K}}^\times$ makes the latter the direct limit topological space $\varinjlim \mathbb{L}_m^\times$

(cf. [18, Lemma 3.1]). As a consequence, continuity of the map $\overline{\mathbb{K}}^\times \to \mathbb{K}$, $z \mapsto 1/z$ follows from its continuity on each of the subsets $\mathbb{L}_m^\times$.

Since $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ is a topological field, $\mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$ has a continuous multiplication and also a continuous inversion map (as the matrix entries of A^{-1} are rational functions of the matrix entries of $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$, by Cramer's Rule). Since $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ is k_ω, also $\overline{\mathbb{K}}^{n\times n}$ is k_ω, entailing that its open subset $\mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{K}}) = \det^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{K}}^\times)$ is locally k_ω (see [24, Proposition 4.2 (f) and (g)]). Finally, each $\mathbb{L}_m$ is second countable (cf. [53]) and hence separable. As a consequence, $\overline{\mathbb{K}} = \bigcup_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{L}_m$ as well as $\overline{\mathbb{K}}^{n\times n}$ and its open subset $\mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$ are separable (see Lemma 5.3). Thus $\mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$ is a k_ω-group (see Lemma 5.1) and hence Weil complete (by Theorem 1.1). □

Remark 6.3 (a) As $\mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$ is open in $\overline{\mathbb{K}}^{n\times n}$ and its group operations are rational maps, it is a finite-dimensional Lie group over $\overline{\mathbb{K}}$ and can also be considered as an infinite-dimensional Lie group over $\mathbb{K}$ (in the sense of [4]).

(b) We have $\mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{K}}) = \varinjlim_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{L}_m)$ as a topological space (using [18, Lemma 3.1] and [29, Theorem 4.1]). Hence $\mathrm{GL}_n(\overline{\mathbb{K}})$ can be considered as an ascending union of locally compact groups, as discussed in Example 4.8.

Remark 6.4 Consider the forgetful functor U from the category of topological groups and continuous homomorphisms to the category of topological spaces (resp., pointed topological spaces) and continuous maps (of pointed spaces) which takes G to its underlying topological space (resp., to (G, e)). It is well known that U has a left adjoint F, which takes X (resp., (X, x_0)) to a topological group $F(X)$ (resp., $F(X, x_0)$). The natural continuous map $X \to F(X)$ (resp., $(X, x_0) \to F(X, x_0)$) is a topological embedding if and only if X is completely regular, in which case $F(X)$ is called the *Markov free group* and $F(X, x_0)$ the *Graev free group* over X. We are in this situation whenever X is a k_ω-space, as any such is normal (and hence completely regular) by [44, Lemma 1.1].

Every (Markov or Graev) free topological group over a k_ω-space X is k_ω (see [36]) and hence Weil complete, by Theorem 1.1. For compact X, Weil completeness of the Graev free topological group was proved in [26]; Raĭkov completeness of free topological groups over k_ω-spaces is mentioned in [51].[8]

[8] If a filter basis $\mathcal{B}$ in a topological group G is both left and right Cauchy, then $\mathcal{B}$ is

Completeness properties of free topological groups have attracted interest in the literature, also irrespective of k_ω-spaces. For example, Graev free groups over metrizable spaces are known to be Weil complete [52]. Weakened completeness properties of free topological groups were studied in [12]. For completeness properties of free abelian topological groups, see [50].

7 Open problems

Our methods cannot answer the completeness question for some closely related examples of Lie groups.

Problem 7.1 Are the Lie groups $C^\omega(M, G)$ (as in [11]) always Weil complete if M is a compact real analytic manifold and G a Lie group modelled on an infinite-dimensional Banach space?

Problem 7.2 Are the Lie groups $\mathrm{Germ}(K, G)$ (as in [20]) always Weil complete if K is a non-empty compact subset of $\mathbb{K}^n$ (with $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$) and G a Lie group modelled on an infinite-dimensional Banach space over $\mathbb{K}$?

Problem 7.3 Is the Lie group $\mathrm{GermDiff}(K)$ (as in [9]) Weil complete for each non-empty compact subset K of an infinite-dimensional real or complex Banach space?

A Proofs for Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4

Proof of Lemma 5.3. (a) If $U \subseteq X$ is open in X and $D \subseteq X$ a dense countable subset, then $U \cap D$ is dense in U.

(b) Omitting a trivial case, we may assume that X_j is non-empty for each $j \in J$. Let $D_j \subseteq X_j$ be a countable dense subset of X_j and $y_j \in D_j$. Let D be the set of all $(x_j)_{j\in J} \in \prod_{j\in J} D_j$ such that $x_j \neq y_j$ for all but finitely many j. Then D is countable and dense in $\prod_{j\in J} X_j$.

(c) If D_j is a countable dense subset of X_j, then the countable set $\coprod_{j\in J} D_j$ is dense in the topological sum $\coprod_{j\in J} X_j$.

called *two-sided Cauchy*. If each two-sided Cauchy filter basis converges in G, then G is called *Raĭkov complete*. Weil completeness implies Raĭkov completeness.

(d) Let $D \subseteq X$ be a dense countable set. Then $\overline{f(D)} = Y$ since otherwise $f^{-1}(\overline{f(D)})$ (which contains $\overline{D} = X$) would be a proper subset of X, contradiction.

(e) If Y is a dense subset of X and D a countable dense subset of Y, then D is also dense in X.

(f) By (c), $S := \coprod_{j\in J} X_j$ has a countable dense subset D. The map $f\colon S \to X$ induced by the maps $f_j\colon X_j \to X$ is continuous and surjective. Thus $f(D)$ is dense in X, by (d). □

Proof of Lemma 5.4. (a) Since $C(K, \mathbb{R}^n) \cong C(K, \mathbb{R})^n$, we may assume that $n = 1$ (see Lemma 5.3 (b)). Let d be a metric on K defining its topology and write

$$B_r(x) := \{y \in K\colon d(x, y) < r\}$$

for the open ball of radius $r > 0$ around $x \in K$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a finite subset $F_m \subseteq K$ such that

$$K = \bigcup_{x\in F_m} B_{1/m}(x).$$

Let $(h_{m,x})_{x\in F_m}$ be a continuous partition of unity such that

$$h_{m,x}(y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in F_m \text{ and } y \in K \setminus B_{1/m}(x).$$

Then the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear span

$$D := \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{h_{m,x}\colon m \in \mathbb{N}, x \in F_m\}$$

is a countable subset of $C(K, \mathbb{R})$ and we show it is dense. Since D is dense in its real linear span $D_{\mathbb{R}}$, we need only show that the latter is dense in $C(K, \mathbb{R})$ (see Lemma 5.3 (e)). Given $f \in C(K, \mathbb{R})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we find $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(\forall x, y \in K) \quad d(x, y) < \frac{1}{m} \quad \Rightarrow \quad |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon,$$

by uniform continuity of f. Let us verify that $\|f - g\|_\infty < \varepsilon$ for

$$g := \sum_{x\in F_m} f(x)h_{m,x} \in D_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

If $y \in K$ and $x \in F_m$ such that $h_{m,x}(y) \neq 0$, then $\|y - x\| < 1/m$, whence $|f(y) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$. Thus

$$|f(y) - g(y)| = \left|\sum_{x\in F_m} (f(y) - f(x))h_{m,x}(y)\right| \leq \sum_{x\in F_m} |f(y) - f(x)|h_{m,x}(y) < \varepsilon.$$

(b) It is well known that $C(K,G)$ is a topological group (see, e.g., [48, Theorem 11.5]). By the Whitney Embedding Theorem (see, e.g., [30]), for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a C^∞-diffeomorphism $j\colon G \to N$ from G onto a C^∞-submanifold $N \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$. Now

$$C(K,G) \to C(K,\mathbb{R}^m), \quad f \mapsto j \circ f$$

is a topological embedding. Hence $C(K,G)$ is separable, by Lemma 5.4 (a) and 5.2.

(c) By Whitney's Embedding Theorem, every compact smooth manifold is C^∞-diffeomorphic to a smooth submanifold of some $\mathbb{R}^n$ (see, e.g., [30]). It therefore suffices to consider a compact subset $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. As the metrizable space $C(K,\mathbb{R}^n)$ is separable by (a), also its subset $\mathrm{Homeo}(K)$ is separable, by 5.2. It is well known that $\mathrm{Homeo}(K)$ is a topological group; the group multiplication is continuous since the composition map

$$C(K,K) \times C(K,K) \to C(K,K), \quad (\phi,\psi) \mapsto \phi \circ \psi$$

is continuous by (local) compactness of K (see, e.g., [48, Lemma 9.4 (c)]). As the evaluation map

$$\varepsilon\colon \mathrm{Homeo}(K) \times K \to K, \quad (\phi,x) \mapsto \phi(x)$$

is continuous (see, e.g., [48, Lemma 9.8]), it has closed graph, whence also

$$h\colon \mathrm{Homeo}(K) \times K \to K, \quad (\phi,x) \mapsto \phi^{-1}(x)$$

has closed graph.[9] Since K is compact, continuity of h follows (see, e.g., [48, Theorem 1.21 (b)]) and thus also continuity of

$$h^\vee\colon \mathrm{Homeo}(K) \to \mathrm{Homeo}(K), \quad \psi \mapsto h(\psi,\bullet) = \psi^{-1}$$

(cf., e.g., [13, Theorem 3.4.1 and p. 110]). □

References

[1] Arhangelskii, A. and M. Tkachenko, "Topological Groups and Related Structures," World Scientific, Paris, 2008.

[9] Note that $\mathrm{graph}(h)$ is obtained from $\mathrm{graph}(\varepsilon) \subseteq \mathrm{Homeo}(K) \times K \times K$ by swapping the last and penultimate components.

[2] Außenhofer, L., *Contributions to the duality theory of abelian topological groups and to the theory of nuclear groups*, Diss. Math. **384** (1999), 113 pp.

[3] Bastiani, A., *Applications différentiables et variétés différentiables de dimension infinie*, J. Anal. Math. **13** (1964), 1–114.

[4] Bertram, W., H. Glöckner, and K.-H. Neeb, *Differential calculus over general base fields and rings*, Expo. Math. **22** (2004), 213–282.

[5] Bogfjellmo, G. and A. Schmeding, *The tame Butcher group*, J. Lie Theory **26** (2016), 1107–1144.

[6] Bourbaki, N., "Topological Vector Spaces," Chapters 1–5, Springer, Berlin, 1987.

[7] Bourbaki, N., "Lie Groups and Lie Algebras," Chapters 1–3, Springer, Berlin, 1989.

[8] Braun, H., "Vollständigkeitseigenschaften topologischer Gruppen," Bachelor's thesis, University of Paderborn, in preparation (advisor: H. Glöckner).

[9] Dahmen, R., "Direct Limit Constructions in Infinite-Dimensional Lie Theory," Doctoral Dissertation, University of Paderborn, 2011; `nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:hbz:466:2-239`.

[10] Dahmen, R. and A. Schmeding, *The Lie group of real analytic diffeomorphisms is not real analytic*, Studia Math. **229** (2015), 141–172.

[11] Dahmen, R., H. Glöckner, and A. Schmeding, *Complexifications of infinite-dimensional manifolds and new constructions of infinite-dimensional Lie groups*, preprint, `arXiv:1410.6468`.

[12] Dikranjan, D. and M. Tkačenko, *Weakly complete free topological groups*, Topology Appl. **112** (2001), 259–287.

[13] Engelking, R., "General Topology," Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.

[14] Eyni, J. M., "New Examples and Constructions in Infinite-Dimensional Lie Theory," Doctoral Dissertation, University of Paderborn, 2016; `nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:hbz:466:2-27103`.

[15] Floret, K., *Lokalkonvexe Sequenzen mit kompakten Abbildungen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **247** (1971), 155–195.

[16] Franklin, S. P. and B. V. Smith Thomas, *A survey of k_ω-spaces*, Topology Proceedings **2** (1978), 111–124.

[17] Glöckner, H., *Infinite-dimensional Lie groups without completeness restrictions*, pp. 43–59 in: Strasburger, A. et al. (eds.), "Geometry and Analysis on Finite- and Infinite-Dimensional Lie Groups," Banach Center Publications **55**, Warsaw, 2002.

[18] Glöckner, H., *Direct limit Lie groups and manifolds*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **43** (2003), 1–26.

[19] Glöckner, H., *Fundamentals of direct limit Lie theory*, Compositio Math. **141** (2005), 1551–1577.

[20] Glöckner, H., *Lie groups of germs of analytic mappings*, pp. 1–16 in: Turaev, V. and T. Wurzbacher (eds.), "Infinite Dimensional Groups and Manifolds," IRMA Lecture Notes in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, de Gruyter, 2004.

[21] Glöckner, H., *Direct limits of infinite-dimensional Lie groups compared to direct limits in related categories*, J. Funct. Anal. **245** (2007), 19–61.

[22] Glöckner, H., *Direct limits of infinite-dimensional Lie groups*, pp. 243–280 in: K.-H. Neeb and A. Pianzola (eds), "Developments and Trends in Infinite-Dimensional Lie Theory," Birkhäuser, Progr. Math. **288**, 2011.

[23] Glöckner, H., *Completeness of infinite-dimensional Lie groups in their left uniformity*, preprint, `arXiv:1610.00428`.

[24] Glöckner, R. Gramlich, and T. Hartnick, *Final group topologies, Kac-Moody groups and Pontryagin duality*, Israel J. Math. **177** (2010), 49–102.

[25] Glöckner, H. and K.-H. Neeb, "Infinite-Dimensional Lie Groups," book in preparation.

[26] Graev, M. I., *Free topological groups*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **12** (1948), 279–324; English transl., Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. **8** (1962), 305–364.

[27] Hamilton, R. S., *The inverse function theorem of Nash and Moser*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **7** (1982), 65–222.

[28] Hansen, V. L., *Some theorems on direct limits of expanding sequences of manifolds*, Math. Scand. **29** (1971), 5–36.

[29] Hirai, T., H. Shimomura, N. Tatsuuma, and E. Hirai, *Inductive limits of topologies, their direct products, and problems related to algebraic structures*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **41** (2001), 475–505.

[30] Hirsch, M. W., "Differential Topology," Springer, New York, 1976.

[31] Keller, H. H., "Differential Calculus in Locally Convex Spaces," Springer, Berlin, 1974.

[32] Kriegl, A. and P. W. Michor, "The Convenient Setting of Global Analysis," AMS, Providence, 1997.

[33] Leitenberger, F., *Unitary representations and coadjoint orbits for a group of germs of real analytic diffeomorphisms*, Math. Nachr. **169** (1994), 185–205.

[34] Leslie, J., *On the group of real-analytic diffeomorphisms of a compact real analytic manifold*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **274** (1982), 651–669.

[35] Martineau, A., *Sur la topologie des espaces de fonctions holomorphes*, Math. Ann. **163** (1966), 62–88.

[36] Mack, J., S. A Morris, and E. T. Ordman, *Free topological groups and the projective dimension of a locally compact group*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **40** (1973), 303–308.

[37] Michael, E., *Bi-quotient maps and Cartesian products of quotient maps*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **18** (1968), 287–302.

[38] Michor, P. W., "Manifolds of Differentiable Mappings," Shiva Publ., Orpington, 1980.

[39] Milnor, J., *Remarks on infinite-dimensional Lie groups*, pp. 1007–1057 in: B. S. DeWitt and R. Stora (eds.), "Relativité, groupes et topologie II," North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.

[40] Morita, K., *On decomposition spaces of locally compact spaces*, Proc. Japan Acad. **32** (1956), 544–548.

[41] Natarajan, L., E. Rodríguez-Carrington, and J. A. Wolf, *Differentiable structure for direct limit groups*, Lett. Math. Phys. **23** (1991), 99–109.

[42] Neeb, K.-H., *Towards a Lie theory of locally convex groups*, Jpn. J. Math. **1** (2006), 291–468.

[43] Neeb, K.-H. and F. Wagemann, *Lie group structures on groups of smooth and holomorphic maps on non-compact manifolds*, Geom. Dedicata **134** (2008), 17–60.

[44] Nummela, E. C., *K-groups generated by K-spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **201** (1975), 279–289.

[45] Pisanelli, D., *An example of an infinite Lie group*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **62** (1977), 156–160.

[46] Raĭkov, D. A., *Criterion of completeness of topological linear spaces and topological Abelian groups*, Math. Notes **16** (1974), 646–648.

[47] Roelcke, W. and S. Dierolf, "Uniform Structures on Topological Groups and their Quotients," McGraw-Hill, New York, 1981.

[48] Stroppel, M., "Locally Compact Groups," EMS Publishing House, Zurich, 2006.

[49] Tatsuuma, N., H. Shimomura, and T. Hirai, *On group topologies and unitary representations of inductive limits of topological groups and the case of the group of diffeomorphisms*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **38** (1998), 551–578.

[50] Tkachenko, M., *On completeness of free Abelian topological groups*, Sov. Math., Dokl. **27** (1983), 341–345.

[51] Tkachenko, M., "Free Topological Groups," lecture notes, `http://www.mat.ucm.es/imi/documents/TopologicalGroups/MikhailT.pdf`

[52] Uspenskiĭ, V. V., *Free topological groups of metrizable spaces*, Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya **37** (1991), 657–680.

[53] Weil, A., "Basic Number Theory," Springer, Berlin, ^{2}1973.

Hans Braun, Institut für Mathematik, Universität Paderborn,
Warburger Str. 100, 33098 Paderborn, Germany;
`braunh@campus.uni-paderborn.de`

Helge Glöckner (communicating author), Institut für Mathematik,
Universität Paderborn, Warburger Str. 100, 33098 Paderborn, Germany;
`glockner@math.upb.de`